

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/038,660	01/08/2002	Kazuo Miyaguchi	XA-9120A	9810		
7:	590 06/26/2002					
Mitchell W. S		EXAMINER				
	Drive, Suite 500	LEWIS, TISHA D				
McLean, VA	22102-3833		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3681			
			DATE MAILED: 06/26/2002	DATE MAILED: 06/26/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			Application	No.	Applicant(s)	^			
			10/038,660		MIYAGUCHI ET AL.				
, Offi	Offic	Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
			TISHA D. LE		3681				
Peri d fo		ING DATE of this communication app	ears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)	Respons	ive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) <u></u> —	This action	on is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is no	on-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims									
4)🖾	Claim(s)	<u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4	la) Of the	above claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are withdrawn fro	om considera	tion.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) _	is/are objected to.							
		are subject to restriction and/or	r election req	uirement.					
Application Papers									
•	-	cation is objected to by the Examine							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)□ T	• •	,	•	e action.					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
=			. nriarity unda	r 25 11 C C S 110(a) (d) or (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/317,978</u> .									
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
2) Notice	of Draftsper	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3</u>	. 4) 5)	Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				
S. Patent and Tra		Office Ac	tion Summary		Part o	of Paper No. 4			

Art Unit: 3681

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a first action on the merits of application serial no. 10/038,660 filed on January 8, 2002.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- I. Figure 17
- II. Figures 24a and 24b.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 2 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 3681

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

During a telephone conversation with Mitchell W. Shapiro on June 14, 2002 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1 and 2. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claim 3 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 09/317,978, filed on May 25, 1999.

Information Disclosure Statem nt

The information disclosure statement filed on February 11, 2002 has been acknowledged.

Preliminary Amendment

The preliminary amendments filed on February 8 and 11, 2002 have been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In the claim 1, line 17, it is unclear as to what "a central portion" is for. If it is the central portion of the ball, this should be clarified. Also, in the claim 1, lines 1-9, due to the linear motion device shown in the drawings not having a screw shaft, nut and helical screw grooves, applicant should change the limitations to read as in claim 2, with an inner/outer member, etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zeilman ('021). Zeilman discloses a linear motion device including an outer member (1), an inner member (2) with a gap facing the outer member, a multiplicity of balls (5) disposed between the members and a plurality of spacers with concave surfaces between the balls wherein the balls form a rectilinear connection with the outer edges of the spacers which also forms a line contact (Figures 7 and 8) with the spacers.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 2 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,347,558.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claiming of the linear motion device in the patent encompasses the claiming of the linear motion device in the application wherein each has an inner member, an outer member, a multiplicity of balls, a spacer interposed between the balls and the balls coming into line contact with the outer edges of the spacer.

Application/Control Number: 10/038,660

Art Unit: 3681

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Submission of your response by facsimile transmission is encouraged. Group 3600's facsimile number is (703) 305-3597. Recognizing the fact that reducing cycle time in the processing and examination of patent applications will effectively increase a patent's term, it is to your benefit to submit responses by facsimile transmission whenever permissible. Such submission will place the response directly in our examining group's hands and will eliminate Post Office processing and delivery time as well as the PTO's mail room processing and delivery time. For a complete list of correspondence <u>not</u> permitted by facsimile transmission, see MPEP 502.01. In general, most responses and/or amendments not requiring a fee, as well as those requiring a fee but charging such fee to a deposit account, can be submitted by facsimile transmission. Responses requiring a fee which applicant is paying by check should not be submitting by facsimile transmission separately from the check.

Responses submitted by facsimile transmission should include a Certificate of Transmission (MPEP 512). The following is an example of the format the certification might take:

the Patent and Trademark Office (Fax No. (703) 305-3597) on					
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:					
(Signature)					

If your response is submitted by facsimile transmission, you are hereby reminded that the original should be retained as evidence of authenticity (37 CFR 1.4 and MPEP 502.02). Please do not separately mail the original or another copy unless required by the Patent and Trademark Office. Submission of the original response or a follow-up copy of the response after your response has been transmitted by facsimile will only cause further unnecessary delays in the processing of your application; duplicate responses where fees are charged to a deposit account may result in those fees being charged twice.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

-Konomoto et al ('367) is cited as having a spacer for a linear motion device wherein balls come into line contact with the spacer.

Application/Control Number: 10/038,660

Art Unit: 3681

-Frank et al ('820) is cited as having a spacer with three spaced portions to a ball.

-WIPO 01/25647 is cited as having a spacer with a gothic arch disposed between

rollers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to TISHA D. LEWIS whose telephone number is 703-305-

0921. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 8 AM TO 3 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, CHARLES A. MARMOR can be reached on 703-308-0830. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-

305-3597 for regular communications and 703-305-3597 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

2168.

June 17, 2002

Page 7